

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
TUESDAY, JUNE 28, 2011  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S192531**      B222214 Second Appellate District, Div. 8      **PEOPLE v. VILLATORO  
(JUAN)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 11, 2011.

**S192733**      B222463 Second Appellate District, Div. 5      **PEOPLE v. VALDEZ (JUAN  
MANUEL)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 4, 2011.

**S192735**      B219657 Second Appellate District, Div. 8      **PEOPLE v. GOODLOW  
(SHERODE)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 5, 2011.

**S192891**      F058655 Fifth Appellate District      **PEOPLE v. MADERA  
(RAMIRO)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 8, 2011.

**S192895**      A124528/A125552 First Appellate District, Div. 5      **PEOPLE v. GIUGNI (TYLER)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 4, 2011.

**S192896**      B221340 Second Appellate District, Div. 3      **PEOPLE v. QU (LONG QUAN)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 4, 2011.

**S192898** F062248 Fifth Appellate District

**GULLATT III (JOHN JACOB)  
ON H.C.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 4, 2011.

**S192914** G038218 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

**LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF  
v. NEW YORK MARINE &  
GENERAL INSURANCE  
COMPANY**

Time extended to grant or deny review

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 5, 2011.

**S192926** C067765 Third Appellate District

**CAROLYN NICHOLS  
REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST  
v. S.C. (DEPARTMENT OF  
WATER RESOURCES)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 5, 2011.

**S192930** C067758 Third Appellate District

**PROPERTY RESERVE, INC.  
v. S.C. (DEPARTMENT OF  
WATER RESOURCES)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 5, 2011.

**S192931** F059225 Fifth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. STANFILL  
(DEANDRE NOBLE)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 5, 2011.

**S192962** B232419 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

**HAMILTON (ANTHONY) v.  
S.C. (PEOPLE)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 5, 2011.

**S192972**      B222755 Second Appellate District, Div. 2      **PEOPLE v. NOLASCO  
(ARMANDO)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 5, 2011.

**S192976**      B221075 Second Appellate District, Div. 8      **PEOPLE v. SMITH (MYRON  
LARAY)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 5, 2011.

**S192999**      D056470 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1      **PEOPLE v. GERAURD  
(CHRISTOPHER)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 9, 2011.

**S193004**      G041810 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3      **PEOPLE v. FUSCO (ANDREW  
DAVID)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 9, 2011.

**S193030**      B232615 Second Appellate District, Div. 6      **DONAHUE (LAUREN  
DORETTA) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 10, 2011.

**S193052**      G042874 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3      **PEOPLE v. SOTO (RODRIGO)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 10, 2011.

**S193072**      H036742 Sixth Appellate District      **HOWARD (JERRY) v. S.C.  
(PEOPLE)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 11, 2011.

**S193084** H035075 Sixth Appellate District**PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (JOSE GONZALEZ)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 11, 2011.

**S029843****PEOPLE v. BECK (JAMES DAVID) & CRUZ (GERALD DEAN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Assistant State Public Defender Kathleen M. Scheidel's representation that she anticipates filing appellant Gerald Dean Cruz's reply brief by March 1, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 26, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

**S049626****PEOPLE v. HAJEK (STEPHEN EDWARD) & VO (LOI TAN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Doron Weinberg's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Loi Tan Vo's reply brief by October 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 26, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

**S056842****PEOPLE v. RICCARDI (JOHN ALEXANDER)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Carla J. Johnson's representation that she anticipates filing the supplemental letter brief by July 11, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 11, 2011. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

**S062259****PEOPLE v. SCULLY (ROBERT WALTER)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Margot Garey's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by March 30, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 29, 2011. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 210 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S083446**

**PEOPLE v. WILSON  
(BRANDON H.)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Susan L. Wolk's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by November 30, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 6, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

**S101247**

**PEOPLE v. VARGAS  
(EDUARDO DAVID)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Russell S. Babcock's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 1, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 19, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 100 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S113962**

**PEOPLE v. PARKER  
(CALVIN LAMONT)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 26, 2011.

**S132256**

**PEOPLE v. HELZER (GLEN  
TAYLOR)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 26, 2011.

**S133660**

**PEOPLE v. AMEZCUA  
(OSWALDO) & FLORES  
(JOSEPH CONRAD)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Janyce Keiko Imata Blair's representation that she anticipates filing appellant Amezcua's opening brief by June 30, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 26, 2011. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S140894****PEOPLE v. MIRACLE  
(JOSHUA MARTIN)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 30, 2011.

**S141080****PEOPLE v. CAMACHO  
(ADRIAN GEORGE)**

Extension of time granted

Appellant's request for relief from default is granted.

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 15, 2011.

**S154541****LANCASTER (ANDREW) ON  
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Deputy Attorney General Zee Rodriguez's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by December 2, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to September 6, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

**S157242****CAREY (DEWAYNE  
MICHAEL) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Jeannie R. Sternberg's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by September 13, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to September 13, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S158512****THORNTON (MARK SCOTT)  
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Michael J. Wise's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by June 30, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to June 30, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S185556****KRUZAN (SARA J.) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to July 29, 2011.

**S185870****DORSETT (PHILLIP) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to July 13, 2011.

**S187449****ROBINSON (RAYVONE) ON  
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to July 25, 2011.

**S193534****WEAVER (LA TWON) ON  
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Angela M. Borzachillo's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by February 1, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to August 30, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated.

**S194064**

B220954 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

**LAMPS PLUS OVERTIME  
CASES**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to July 18, 2011.

**S026700****PEOPLE v. BROWN  
(ANDREW LAMONT)**

Order filed

The order filed on June 15, 2011, is hereby amended to read in its entirety.

Petitioner's "Application for Release of Files, Records and Any Confidential Materials," filed on May 18, 2011, is granted in part and denied in part as follows.

The Federal Public Defender is granted access to the records in this court relating to *People v. Andrew Lamont Brown* (S026700), *In re Andrew Lamont Brown* (S136785), and *In re Andrew Lamont Brown* (S125670). The Federal Public Defender is granted access to all sealed or confidential materials in *People v. Andrew Lamont Brown* (S042323) except for pages 4465 to 4473 of volume 31 of the Sealed Reporter's Transcript (captioned "2-5-92"). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.328(c)(6).)

The Federal Public Defender must supply the personnel and equipment necessary to undertake the review and copying of these records, which must occur on the court's premises.

It is ordered that the Federal Public Defender not release or cause to be released any of the confidential or sealed materials, or the information contained therein. If counsel wishes to disclose the contents of sealed materials in any pleading, those pleadings must be filed under seal, for which permission must be sought under California Rules of Court, rule 8.46.

Trial court exhibits are not lodged at this court, but in the superior court, and access therefore should be sought in the superior court.

In light of this order, petitioner's "Application for Expedited Consideration of Application for Release of Files, Records and Any Confidential Materials," filed on May 26, 2011, is denied as moot.

**S192444****KAHLENBERG ON  
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that RITA ANN KAHLENBERG, State Bar Number 200518, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. RITA ANN KAHLENBERG must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 1, 2011; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if RITA ANN KAHLENBERG has complied with the terms of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

RITA ANN KAHLENBERG must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7



and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. If RITA ANN KAHLENBERG fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

**S192447****MATEOS ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DIONNE MATEOS, State Bar Number 205959, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. DIONNE MATEOS must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 18, 2011; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DIONNE MATEOS has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DIONNE MATEOS must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2012 and 2013. If DIONNE MATEOS fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

**S192455****MINTZ ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JEFFREY S. MINTZ, State Bar Number 113467, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

JEFFREY S. MINTZ must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S192458****QUINTRALL ON  
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ALBERT FRANCIS QUINTRALL, State Bar Number 58066, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. ALBERT FRANCIS QUINTRALL is suspended from the practice of law for the first eighteen months of probation;
2. ALBERT FRANCIS QUINTRALL must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 10, 2011; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ALBERT FRANCIS QUINTRALL has complied with all conditions of probation, the three-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ALBERT FRANCIS QUINTRALL must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

ALBERT FRANCIS QUINTRALL must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. If ALBERT FRANCIS QUINTRALL fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

**S192462****SHIPPEY ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that KARLA C. SHIPPEY, State Bar Number 113107, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. KARLA C. SHIPPEY is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
2. KARLA C. SHIPPEY must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 21, 2011; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if KARLA C. SHIPPEY has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

KARLA C. SHIPPEY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

KARLA C. SHIPPEY must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2012 and 2013. If KARLA C. SHIPPEY fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

**S192465****JORDAN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JAMES FRIEND JORDAN, State Bar Number 74606, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

JAMES FRIEND JORDAN must make restitution as recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on March 3, 2011. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

JAMES FRIEND JORDAN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S192469****COMSTOCK ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that VICTOR MARCEL COMSTOCK, State Bar Number 232078, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. VICTOR MARCEL COMSTOCK must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 8, 2011; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if VICTOR MARCEL COMSTOCK has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

VICTOR MARCEL COMSTOCK must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. If VICTOR MARCEL COMSTOCK fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

**S192472****KHOUGAZ ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that GREGORY JOHN KHOUGAZ, State Bar Number 107530, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

GREGORY JOHN KHOUGAZ must make restitution as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 1, 2011.

Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

GREGORY JOHN KHOUGAZ must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S192474****STOLLER ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MICHAEL THOMAS STOLLER, State Bar Number 120241, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. MICHAEL THOMAS STOLLER is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
2. MICHAEL THOMAS STOLLER must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 3, 2011; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MICHAEL THOMAS STOLLER has complied with all conditions of probation, the three-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7

and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with membership fees for each of the years 2012 and 2013. If MICHAEL THOMAS STOLLER fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

**BAR MISC. 4186    IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE  
OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA  
FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 982)**

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)